Case: 4:19-cv-01845-RWS Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 06/27/19 Page: 1 of 13/2010/C60999

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ST. LOUIS CITY STATE OF MISSOURI

JAZ'MIN FRANKS,)
PLAINTIFF,) Cause No
v.) Division No
GUCKENHEIMER ENTERPRISES, INC.,))) .JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
SERVE:) JUNI TRIAL DEMIANDED
NATIONAL CORPORATE)
RESEARCH, LTD.)
9666 OLIVE BLVD., STE. 690)
ST. LOUIS, MO 63132	
DEFENDANT.)

PETITION FOR DAMAGES

COMES NOW Plaintiff and for her Petition for Damages states:

Nature of Action

1. This action is brought pursuant to the Missouri Human Rights Act (hereinafter MHRA), Chapter 213, RSMo.

Parties

- 2. Plaintiff is an adult African-American female and a citizen of the State of Missouri.
- 3. Defendant Guckenheimer Enterprises, Inc. is and was a foreign corporation registered to do business in Missouri.
- 4. At all times relevant herein, Defendant was an employer within the meaning of § 213.010(7) of the MHRA in that employed more than six employees in the State of Missouri.



Case: 4:19-cv-01845-RWS Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 06/27/19 Page: 2 of 13 PageID #: 14

Jurisdiction & Venue

- Jurisdiction and venue are conferred on this Court pursuant to § RSMo.
 213.111.
- 6. All or a substantial part of the unlawful discriminatory practices alleged below occurred in St. Louis City, Missouri.

Procedural Prerequisites

- 7. On or about August 20, 2018, Plaintiff timely submitted a charge of discrimination with the Missouri Commission on Human Rights (MCHR) and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) (through dual filing), wherein Plaintiff alleged Defendant unlawfully discriminated against her based on race, sex/gender, and retaliation.
- 8. On or about February 19, 2019, the MCHR issued its Notice of Right to Sue with regard to Plaintiff's Charge, and Plaintiff instituted this action within 90 days of her receipt of the Notice of Right to Sue. See Exhibit 1, which is attached hereto and incorporated herein.

Factual Allegations

- 9. Defendant is a food service company that, among other things, provides on-site corporate food services.
- 10. Plaintiff became employed as a food prep worker in Defendant's facility located at 4474 Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, MO 63110, on January 2, 2018.
 - 11. Both of Plaintiff's immediate supervisors were Caucasian women.
 - 12. In March, 2018, Plaintiff's supervisors became hostile towards her.

- 13. Her supervisors were also hostile towards other African-American individuals working under their supervision, as well as other females working under their supervision.
 - 14. On at least one occasion, her supervisor used the "n word" in her presence.
 - 15. Plaintiff complained to HR and others but no corrective action was taken.
 - 16. In fact, HR blamed Plaintiff for the hostile work environment.
- 17. On April 6, 2018, Plaintiff was given a Corrective Action Report by her supervisor.
- 18. Plaintiff refused to sign the Corrective Action Report, and indicated: "Jaz'min will be giving her own written account to HR on the harassment taking place.

 Coming from her manager Heather as well as Leslie."
- 19. On April, 15, 2018, Plaintiff resigned because she could no longer handle the work environment as it was negatively affecting her health.

<u>Count I – Retaliation/Constructive Discharge</u>

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges as follows:
 - 21. Plaintiff resigned on or about April 15, 2018.
- 22. At the time of Plaintiff's resignation, her working conditions had become intolerable such that Plaintiff was compelled to resign.
- 23. Moreover, a reasonable person in Plaintiff's situation would find the working conditions intolerable.
- 24. Defendant either intended to force Plaintiff to resign, or Defendant could reasonably foresee that its actions would cause Plaintiff to resign.

Case: 4:19-cv-01845-RWS Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 06/27/19 Page: 4 of 13 PageID #: 16

- 25. Plaintiff's opposition to discrimination was a motivating factor to Defendant's discriminatory actions against Plaintiff.
 - 26. Plaintiff was damaged as a result of Defendant's discriminatory actions.
- 27. Defendant's conduct as set forth above was outrageous because of an evil motive and reckless indifference to the rights of Plaintiff, in that Defendant intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff without just cause or excuse in violation of the Missouri Human Rights Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in her favor and against Defendant for damages in excess of \$25,000, including both actual and punitive damages, for the costs incurred herein and expended, for attorneys' fees, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just.

Count II – Race/Constructive Discharge

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges as follows:
 - 29. Plaintiff resigned on or about April 15, 2018.
- 30. At the time of Plaintiff's resignation, her working conditions had become intolerable such that Plaintiff was compelled to resign.
- 31. Moreover, a reasonable person in Plaintiff's situation would find the working conditions intolerable.
- 32. Defendant either intended to force Plaintiff to resign, or Defendant could reasonably foresee that its actions would cause Plaintiff to resign.
- 33. Plaintiff's race was a motivating factor to Defendant's discriminatory actions against Plaintiff.

- 34. Plaintiff was damaged as a result of Defendant's discriminatory actions.
- 35. Defendant's conduct as set forth above was outrageous because of an evil motive and reckless indifference to the rights of Plaintiff, in that Defendant intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff without just cause or excuse in violation of the Missouri Human Rights Act.

<u>Count III – Gender/Constructive Discharge</u>

- 36. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges as follows:
 - 37. Plaintiff resigned on or about April 15, 2018.
- 38. At the time of Plaintiff's resignation, her working conditions had become intolerable such that Plaintiff was compelled to resign.
- 39. Moreover, a reasonable person in Plaintiff's situation would find the working conditions intolerable.
- 40. Defendant either intended to force Plaintiff to resign, or Defendant could reasonably foresee that its actions would cause Plaintiff to resign.
- 41. Plaintiff's gender was a motivating factor to Defendant's discriminatory actions against Plaintiff.
 - 42. Plaintiff was damaged as a result of Defendant's discriminatory actions.
- 43. Defendant's conduct as set forth above was outrageous because of an evil motive and reckless indifference to the rights of Plaintiff, in that Defendant intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff without just cause or excuse in violation of the Missouri Human Rights Act.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in her favor and against Defendant for damages in excess of \$25,000, including both actual and punitive damages, for the costs incurred herein and expended, for attorneys' fees, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just.

<u>Count IV – Retaliation/Hostile Work Environment</u>

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges as follows:
- 45. Plaintiff opposed discrimination, and therefore is a member of a protected group.
- 46. Plaintiff was subjected to unwelcome harassment during her employment with Defendant.
- 47. This unwelcome harassment adversely affected Plaintiff's employment, because the hostile environment made it difficult for Plaintiff to perform her job duties and caused her great emotional distress.
- 48. Defendant did not try to correct the harassing behavior despite knowing about it.
- 49. The harassment Plaintiff has endured is and was severe and pervasive such that it created an intimidating, hostile and offensive work environment, such that it affected a term, condition or privilege of her employment.
- 50. Plaintiff believes that her work environment was hostile and abusive, and, moreover, a reasonable person in Plaintiff's position would also find the work environment to be hostile and abusive.

Case: 4:19-cv-01845-RWS Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 06/27/19 Page: 7 of 13 PageID #: 19

- 51. Plaintiff's opposition to discrimination was a motivating factor to Defendant's discriminatory actions against Plaintiff.
 - 52. Plaintiff was damaged as a result of Defendant's actions.
- 53. Defendant's conduct of allowing a hostile work environment was outrageous because of an evil motive and reckless indifference to the rights of Plaintiff, in that Defendant intentionally allowed the hostile work environment to continue, and did not rectify the situation despite having actual knowledge of the situation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in her favor and against Defendant for damages in excess of \$25,000, including both actual and punitive damages, for the costs incurred herein and expended, for attorneys' fees, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just.

Count V – Race/Hostile Work Environment

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges as follows:
- 55. Plaintiff is African-American, and therefore is a member of a protected group.
- 56. Plaintiff was subjected to unwelcome harassment during her employment with Defendant.
- 57. This unwelcome harassment adversely affected Plaintiff's employment, because the hostile environment made it difficult for Plaintiff to perform her job duties and caused her great emotional distress.
- 58. Defendant did not try to correct the harassing behavior despite knowing about it.

- 59. The harassment Plaintiff has endured is and was severe and pervasive such that it created an intimidating, hostile and offensive work environment, such that it affected a term, condition or privilege of her employment.
- 60. Plaintiff believes that her work environment was hostile and abusive, and, moreover, a reasonable person in Plaintiff's position would also find the work environment to be hostile and abusive.
- 61. Plaintiff's race was a motivating factor to Defendant's discriminatory actions against Plaintiff.
 - 62. Plaintiff was damaged as a result of Defendant's actions.
- 63. Defendant's conduct of allowing a hostile work environment was outrageous because of an evil motive and reckless indifference to the rights of Plaintiff, in that Defendant intentionally allowed the hostile work environment to continue, and did not rectify the situation despite having actual knowledge of the situation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in her favor and against Defendant for damages in excess of \$25,000, including both actual and punitive damages, for the costs incurred herein and expended, for attorneys' fees, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just.

Count VI – Gender/Hostile Work Environment

- 64. Plaintiff incorporates by reference, as if fully set forth herein, each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs and further alleges as follows:
 - 65. Plaintiff is female, and therefore is a member of a protected group.
- 66. Plaintiff was subjected to unwelcome harassment during her employment with Defendant.

- 67. This unwelcome harassment adversely affected Plaintiff's employment, because the hostile environment made it difficult for Plaintiff to perform her job duties and caused her great emotional distress.
- 68. Defendant did not try to correct the harassing behavior despite knowing about it.
- 69. The harassment Plaintiff has endured is and was severe and pervasive such that it created an intimidating, hostile and offensive work environment, such that it affected a term, condition or privilege of her employment.
- 70. Plaintiff believes that her work environment was hostile and abusive, and, moreover, a reasonable person in Plaintiff's position would also find the work environment to be hostile and abusive.
- 71. Plaintiff's gender was a motivating factor to Defendant's discriminatory actions against Plaintiff.
 - 72. Plaintiff was damaged as a result of Defendant's actions.
- 73. Defendant's conduct of allowing a hostile work environment was outrageous because of an evil motive and reckless indifference to the rights of Plaintiff, in that Defendant intentionally allowed the hostile work environment to continue, and did not rectify the situation despite having actual knowledge of the situation.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment in her favor and against Defendant for damages in excess of \$25,000, including both actual and punitive damages, for the costs incurred herein and expended, for attorneys' fees, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just.

Case: 4:19-cv-01845-RWS Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 06/27/19 Page: 10 of 13 PageID #: 22

Respectfully submitted,

PONDER ZIMMERMANN LLC

By /s/ Douglas B. Ponder

Douglas Ponder, #54968 <u>dbp@ponderzimmermann.com</u> Jaclyn M. Zimmermann, #57814 <u>jmz@ponderzimmermann.com</u>

20 South Sarah Street St. Louis, MO 63108

Phone: 314-272-2630 FAX: 314-272-2713 Attorneys for Plaintiff

THE 22ND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CITY OF ST LOUIS, MISSOURI 13 Page 13/5

ludge or Division: REX M BURLISON	Case Number: 1922-CC00999	
Plaintiff/Petitioner: AZ'MIN FRANKS vs.	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Addre DOUGLAS BRIAN PONDER 20 SOUTH SARAH ST ST LOUIS, MO 63108	ess Z
Defendant/Respondent: GUCKENHEIMER ENTERPRISES, INC. Jature of Suit:	Court Address: CIVIL COURTS BUILDING 10 N TUCKER BLVD	
CC Employmnt Discrmntn 213.111	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)
	mmons in Civil Case	
The State of Missouri to: GUCKENHEIMER E Alias: NATIONAL CORP RESEARCH LTD 1666 OLIVE BLVD STE 690		ST LOUIS COUNTY SHERIFF
ST. LOUIS, MO 63132		31 LOUIS COUNTT SHEKIFF
CITY OF ST LOUIS May 16, 2019 Date	ou for the relief demanded in the po	mas Moeppinger Clerk
Further Information:	neriff's or Server's Return	
Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to certify that I have served the above summons by: delivering a copy of the summons and a copy	(check one) f the petition to the defendant/respondent ne petition at the dwelling place or usual a, a person of the defendar fendant/respondent. of the summons and a copy of the compla	abode of the defendant/respondent with nt's/respondent's family ever the age of
other:	(name)	7 NO:
Served at 9666 Olive #690	ty of St. Louis), MO, on	(date) at 2735 (time).
Scott Denous Printed Name of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a n	otary public if not served by an authorized	
Subscribed and sworn to	before me on	(date).
(Seal) My commission expires:		
wy commission expires.	Date	Notary Public

Sheriff's Fees, if applicable Summons

Non Est

Sheriff's Deputy Salary Supplemental Surcharge

10.00

miles @ \$.

Mileage

A copy of the summons and a copy of the petition must be served on each defendant/respondent. For methods of service on all classes of suits, see Supreme Court Rule 54.



19-cv-01845-RWS Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 06/27/19 Page: 12 of 13 PageID #: 24

IN THE ZZND GODIOIAL OINC	7011, 0111 01 01 E0010, IIII00001XI					
Judge or Division:	Case Number: 1922-CC00999					
REX M BURLISON						
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address					
JAZ'MIN FRANKS	DOUGLAS BRIAN PONDER					
	20 SOUTH SARAH ST					
VS.	ST LOUIS, MO 63108					
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:					
GUCKENHEIMER ENTERPRISES, INC.	CIVIL COURTS BUILDING					
Nature of Suit:	10 N TUCKER BLVD					
CC Employmnt Discrmntn 213.111	SAINT LOUIS, MO 63101	(Date File Stamp)				
Summons in Civil Case						
The State of Missouri to: GUCKENHEIMER	ENTERPRISES, INC.					
Alias:						
NATIONAL CORP RESEARCH LTD		1				
9666 OLIVE BLVD STE 690 ST. LOUIS, MO 63132	STLOUI	S COUNTY SHERIFF				
51. 255.5, m5 55.52						
COURT SEAL OF You are summone	ad to appear before this court and to file your	pleading to the petition a				



You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for plaintiff/petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

Thomas Kloeppinger May 16, 2019

211.7 21 21 22 21 2			** ()			
	Date		Clerk			
	Further Information:					
	Sheriff's	or Server's Return				
Note to serving officer	: Summons should be returned to	he court within 30 days after	the date of issue.			
I certify that I have serve	ed the above summons by: (check	one)				
	he summons and a copy of the pet					
☐ leaving a copy of the	summons and a copy of the petition					
15 years who perm	anently resides with the defendant	, a person of the dele /respondent	endant's/respondent's family ove	er the age of		
	poration) delivering a copy of the su	•	omplaint to:			
				(title).		
				·		
Served at				_ (address)		
in	(County/City of St.	Louis). MO. on	(date) at	(time)		
Printed Nan	ne of Sheriff or Server Must be sworn before a notary pul Subscribed and sworn to before	•				
(Seal)			, ,			
	My commission expires:		Notary Public			
		Date	Notary Public			
Sheriff's Fees, if applical Summons	DIE ©					
Non Est	9 e					
Sheriff's Deputy Salary	Φ					
Supplemental Surcharge	\$ 10.00					
Mileage	\$ (m	niles @ \$. per mile)				
Total	\$	1				
	nd a copy of the petition must be seeme Court Rule 54.	erved on each defendant/res	pondent. For methods of service	e on all		

Case: 4:19-cv-01845-RWS Doc. #: 1-3 Filed: 06/27/19 Page: 13 of 13 PageID #: 25

STATE COURT DOCKET SHEET

Jaz'Min Franks v. Guckenheimer Enterprises, Inc.

Case No. 1922-cc00999 As of June 27, 2019

	1922-CC00999 - JAZ'MIN FRANKS V GUCKENHEIMER EN TERPRISES INC (E-CASE)							
		torneys Docket	Charges, Judgments & Sentences	Service Information	Filings Due	Scheduled Hearings & Trials	Civil Judgments	Garnishments/ Execution
Click here to eFile of Click here to Response			information is provided as Sort [_	lered an official court re ending Ascendin		Options: All Entrie
05/31/2019		ID - 19-SMCC-76	685; Served To - GU0 eriff Department; Re					
	Notice of 19-SMCC-		Filing Certificate of S	ervice.				
05/29/2019	•	Scheduled uled For: 11/12/2	019; 9:00 AM; REX	(M BURLISO	N; City	of St. Louis		
05/16/2019		Issued-Circuit ID: 19-SMCC-76	85, for GUCKENHEI	MER ENTERI	PRISES,	INC		
	-	Sheet eFiling by: DOUGLAS BR	RIAN PONDER					
		l erk eFiling By: DOUGLAS BR	RIAN PONDER					
	Petition for Filed B	in Circuit Ct r Damages; Exhib by: DOUGLAS BF nalf Of: JAZ'MIN	RIAN PONDER					
	Judge Ass	signed						
Case.net Version 5.14	.0.11			Return to Top o	of Page			F